	Case 2:25-cv-01127-JDP Do	ocument 4	Filed 04/24/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	GLENN KINFORD,		Case No. 2:25-cv-	-1127-JDP (P)
12	Plaintiff,			
13	v.		ORDER	
14	D. SAMUELS, et al.,			
15	Defendants.			
16				
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to			
18	42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to			
19	28 U.S.C. § 1915.			
20	The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial			
21	district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the			
22	district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions			
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action			
24	is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in			
25	this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal			
26	jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).			
27	In this case, the claim arose in San Luis Obispo County, which is in the Central District of			
28	California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have been filed in the United States District Court			
			1	

## Case 2:25-cv-01127-JDP Document 4 Filed 04/24/25 Page 2 of 2 for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Central District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: \_\_ April 23, 2025 JERÉMY D. PETERSON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE